
Subject: [DIDP] Dimmest Era in this history of ICANN
Date: Sunday, January 6, 2019 at 11:44:16 PM Pacific Standard Time
From: William Donovan (sent by didp <didp-bounces@icann.org>)
To: didp@icann.org, Contact Information Redacted, Contact Information Redacted, Cyrus Namazi, Goran Marby, Correspondence, Contact Information Redacted, Contact Information Redacted
CC: Don Hollander
Attachments: ATT00001.txt

To ICANN Board

It was the Dimmest Era in this history of ICANN when UASG Chair Ram Mohan taken a self-decision of withdrawing posting privileges of the Community members on UA list and challenge fundamental rights of community members to put their views and comments within community.

Ram denoted that his action was in accordance with the UASG's Code of Conduct, which was on no occasion obtainable on UASG website and to my best reminiscence not even disseminated in any means at least in last 18 months with anyone.

Such in impartial and translucent functioning milieu why UASG administrative group wants to dilute the core agenda why can't they reply Question raised by Danny. Is there anything wrong in them you all decide?

If functioning was so translucent then please don't not transferal and reply Danny question retorts?

- What translucent procedure was adopted in appointment and selection of UA Ambassador?
- Why feedback and voting from community was not been done for their selection?
- How many individuals put on for this position till now?
- What was done with those solicitations?
- What was the terms for which they were been positioned?
- What were the roles and responsibilities of these individuals in there region?
- What were the monitoring benchmarks?
- What is the evolution testimony of each individual?

I also want to exercise my rights and ask everyone here that:

When UASG's Code of Conduct, which was on no occasion obtainable on UASG website or communal can CHAIR take any such stand of withdrawing posting privileges of the Community members?

Why UASG administrative group is irresolute in responding retorts of questions?

Why should ICANN intercede and take action against individuals responsible for this misconduct done with community members by Chair of UASG?

ICANN and its supporting groups are always community driven can individuals elected by community here can self-decide to exterminate community opinion and tangential them?

I forestall in F2F no one of them will address our concerns but I am confident that in ICANN64 public forum they have to answer our every question in presence of ICANN board.

William