

Subject: [DIDP] DIDP Request
Date: Sunday, January 8, 2023 at 1:22:21 PM Pacific Standard Time
From: didp on behalf of michael palage.com via didp <didp@icann.org>
To: didp@icann.org <didp@icann.org>
Attachments: icann-meeting-data.xlsx, ATT00001.txt

Dear ICANN DIDP team,

Listed below are two specific Documentary Information Disclosure Policy requests along with a specific explanation associated with reach request.

Request #1

Request: Could ICANN Org acknowledge the existence of a detailed staff organization chart? If an organization chart does exist can ICANN publicly post it on the ICANN website in conjunction with the management organization chart available here, <https://www.icann.org/resources/files/1228969-2020-06-01-en>? If ICANN has a complete organization chart but declines to produce it, could ICANN prepare a more suitable organization chart that could be made publicly available?

Reason for the request: Over the past twenty years ICANN staff has grown substantially. ICANN Org should be commended for being open and transparent in connection with making individual staff profiles available online, see https://www.icann.org/organization?profile_search. As noted above it also publicly provides a high level management organization chart. However, there is no insight into how ICANN's 400 plus staff are allocated across different departments. The recent SubPro ODA referenced the need to hire additional staff and secure additional office space. Having this more detailed organizational information will allow myself and the ICANN community to more constructively engage in the upcoming annual budget and strategic plan public consultation periods.

Request #2

Request: Could ICANN Org make available the stakeholder participant breakdowns in connection with the ICANN74 and ICANN75 meetings? Does ICANN Org have any statistics regarding travel support based upon stakeholder groups that they can make publicly available?

Reason for the request: First, Nick Tomasso and his team should be commended for providing the ICANN community with timely reports regarding ICANN meetings, see <https://meetings.icann.org/en/data-reports>. Prior to the pandemic, these reports provided a break down of stakeholder participation, e.g. domain sector, private sector, academia etc. However, beginning with ICANN74 these stakeholder participation percentages appear to be no longer available, despite the information still being collected from attendees. The specific reason I would like access to these percentages is to confirm my growing concern about a disproportionate increase of contracting parties participating in ICANN regional meetings and the policy development process. As the former chair of the ICANN Accuracy and Scoping Team, contracting parties regularly had 100% attendance while most non-contracting party stakeholder groups had sparse participation. It is my opinion that this growing disproportionate participation rate also appears to be tracking in connection with ICANN meetings. I personally believe that this growing imbalance is not healthy for the long term viability of the multistakeholder model. I would therefore like to have factual evidence to support my concerns as I try to raise them to ICANN and the broader community as part of the upcoming annual budget and strategic plan public consultation periods. Attached is an excel spreadsheet breaking down these trends since ICANN55.

Best regards,

Michael Palage

		ICANN Board	ICANN Staff	Support Staff	Sponsor	Media/Press	Participant
https://meetings.icann.org/en/marrakech55/icann55-technical-report-03may16-en.pdf	ICANN-55 (Morocco)	1	8	7	3	2	79
https://meetings.icann.org/en/helsinki56/schedule/helsinki56-survey-results-03aug16-en.pdf	ICANN -56 (Helsinki)	1	9	6	2	0	82
https://meetings.icann.org/en/hyderabad57/icann57-technical-report-06dec16-en.pdf	ICANN -57 (Hydrabad)	1	5	4	5	4	81
https://meetings.icann.org/en/copenhagen58/icann58-technical-report-24apr17-en.pdf	ICANN-58 (Copenhagen)	1	9	5	3	1	81
https://meetings.icann.org/en/johannesburg59/icann59-technical-report-24jul17-en.pdf	ICANN-59 (Johannesburg)	2	9	5	2	2	80
https://meetings.icann.org/en/abudhabi60/icann60-technical-report-19dec17-en.pdf	ICANN-60 (Abu Dhabi)	1	10	5	2	2	80
https://meetings.icann.org/en/sanjuan61/icann61-technical-report-06apr18-en.pdf	ICANN-61 (San Juan)	1	11	7	5	1	75
https://meetings.icann.org/en/panama62/icann62-technical-report-31jul18-en.pdf	ICANN-62 (Panama City)	2	13	7	1	0	77
https://meetings.icann.org/en/barcelona63/icann63-technical-report-27nov18-en.pdf	ICANN-63 (Barcelona)	1	6	4	3	1	85
https://meetings.icann.org/en/kobe64/icann64-technical-report-09apr19-en.pdf	ICANN-64 (Kobe)	1	10	6	4	1	78
https://meetings.icann.org/en/marrakech65/icann65-technical-report-19sep19-en.pdf	ICANN-65 (Marrakesh)	0	13	11	1	0	75
https://meetings.icann.org/en/montreal66/icann66-technical-report-10dec19-en.pdf	ICANN-66 (Montreal)	0	12	5	4	0	79
https://meetings.icann.org/en/remote67/icann67-technical-report-24mar20-en.pdf	ICANN-67 (Virtual)						
https://meetings.icann.org/en/remote68/icann68-technical-report-11aug20-en.pdf	ICANN-68 (Virtual)						
https://meetings.icann.org/en/remote69/icann69-technical-report-03dec20-en.pdf	ICANN-69 (Virtual)						
https://meetings.icann.org/en/remote70/icann70-technical-report-27apr21-en.pdf	ICANN-70 (Virtual)						
https://meetings.icann.org/en/remote71/icann71-technical-report-29jun21-en.pdf	ICANN-71 (Virtual)						
https://meetings.icann.org/en/remote72/icann72-technical-report-10nov21-en.pdf	ICANN-72 (Virtual)						
https://meetings.icann.org/en/remote73/icann73-technical-report-17mar22-en.pdf	ICANN-73 (Virtual)						
https://meetings.icann.org/en/remote74/icann74-technical-report-22jul22-en.pdf	ICANN-74 (The Hague)	1.7	14.9	12.1	0	0.2	71
https://meetings.icann.org/en/icann75bythenumbersreportweb1nov22.pdf	ICANN-75 (Kuala Lumpur)	2	14.3	12.4	0	0.3	71

