

Report on Independent Review Schedule

Update on GNSO Improvement Process

December 2006

Denise Michel
Vice President,
Policy Development

Independent Reviews - Purpose

- Bylaws call for periodic, independent review of ICANN's SO's, Councils, Advisory Committees (except GAC) and NomCom.
- Intended to ensure independent examination ICANN's structure and operations.
- Part of ICANN's commitment to its evolution and improvement.

Independent Reviews - Direction

- Conducted in an objective way by independent evaluator.
- Under direction of the Board Governance Committee and agreement of the Board through Terms of Reference.
- With opportunities for public review and comment.

Independent Reviews - Elements

- First reviews: GNSO Council, December 2004; GNSO, September 2006.
- Elements of GNSO review included:
 - Establishing terms of reference (TOR)
 - Issuing request for proposals (RFP)
 - Selecting consultant
 - Managing and providing information for consultant's work
 - Arranging for information sharing and briefings on final report.

BGC Review Schedule

- Considered age, responsibilities, activities of each entity to be reviewed, and cost and operational limitations for managing/implementing reviews.
- Recommended increasing the budget for each review to ensure resources match needs for professional consultants and review activities.
- Agreed to comprehensive schedule for all reviews, to be reviewed by BGC for progress and potential adjustment.
- Agreed to add a review of the Board to the review queue.
- Review schedule is on Board's agenda for agreement.

BGC Review Schedule

- Nominating Committee – estimated launch December 2006
- At-Large Advisory Committee – estimated launch February 2007
- DNS Root Server System Advisory Committee – estimated launch July 2007
- Board – estimated launch October 2007
- Security and Stability Advisory Committee – estimated launch January 2008
- ccNSO Supporting Organisation – estimated launch July 2008
- Address Supporting Organisation – estimated launch December 2008

GNSO Review – Terms of Reference

- Addressed:
 - Representativeness
 - Effectiveness
 - Transparency
 - Compliance
- Used:
 - Operational Analysis, Statistics
 - Quantifying Focus Areas
 - Mapping Relationships and Interactions
 - Capturing and Mapping Perceptions

GNSO Review – Recommendations

24 recommendations in four areas:

1. Changes need to enhance representativeness of Council, Constituencies;
2. GNSO operations need to be more visible, transparent to wider range of stakeholders;
3. GNSO structures need to be more flexible, adaptable, able to respond more directly to changing stakeholder needs;
4. Changes in Council's operations needed to enhance its ability to reach genuinely consensus positions.

Report posted for public comment at:

<http://www.icann.org/announcements/gns0-review-report-sep06.pdf>

GNSO Review – Improvement Process

- Board Governance Committee responsible for approving a “GNSO Improvement Process” for Board agreement.
- BGC considering approaches for development and adoption of improvements to GNSO’s policy activities, structure, operations, and communications.
- BGC in dialogue with GNSO Council, constituency members, and community and will continue its deliberations.