

## Report of Public Comments

<b>Title:</b>	<b>Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy Part B – Recommendation #8 and #9 Part 2 – Staff Proposals</b>		
<b>Publication Date:</b>	3 January 2012		
<b>Prepared By:</b>	Marika Konings		
<b>Comment Period:</b>		<b>Important Information Links</b>	
Open Date:	22 November 2011	<a href="#">Announcement</a>	
Close Date:	31 December 2011	<a href="#">Public Comment Box</a>	
Time (UTC):	23:59	<a href="#">View Comments Submitted</a>	
<b>Staff Contact:</b>	Marika Konings	<b>Email:</b>	<a href="mailto:Policy-staff@icann.org">Policy-staff@icann.org</a>
<b>Section I: General Overview and Next Steps</b>			
<p>The Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy Part B Policy Development Process Working Group presented its recommendations to the GNSO Council in May 2011. For two of those recommendations, recommendation #8 concerning the standardization and clarification of Whois status messages regarding Registrar Lock status and recommendation #9 part 2 concerning a new provision to lock and unlock domain names, the GNSO Council requested ICANN staff to provide proposals. In consultation with the IRTP Part B Working Group, ICANN Staff prepared the following two proposals: <a href="#">ICANN Staff Proposal on IRTP Part B Recommendation #8</a> [PDF, 288 KB] and <a href="#">ICANN Staff Proposal on IRTP Part B Recommendation #9 part 2</a> [PDF, 490 KB] and published these for public comment. As no comments were received, the proposals will now be submitted to the GNSO Council for its consideration.</p>			
<b>Section II: Contributors</b>			
<p><i>At the time this report was prepared, a total of 0 (zero) community submissions had been posted to the Forum.</i></p>			
<u>Organizations and Groups:</u>			
<b>Name</b>	<b>Submitted by</b>	<b>Initials</b>	
N/A			
<u>Individuals:</u>			
<b>Name</b>	<b>Affiliation (if provided)</b>	<b>Initials</b>	
N/A			
<b>Section III: Summary of Comments</b>			
<p><i>General Disclaimer: This section is intended to broadly and comprehensively summarize the comments submitted to this Forum, but not to address every specific position stated by each contributor. Staff recommends that readers interested in specific aspects of any of the summarized comments, or the full context of others, refer directly to the specific contributions at the link referenced above (View Comments Submitted).</i></p>			

Not applicable as no comments were received.

**Section IV: Analysis of Comments**

*General Disclaimer: This section is intended to provide an analysis and evaluation of the comments received along with explanations regarding the basis for any recommendations provided within the analysis.*

Not applicable as no comments were received.