# ICANN Registry Request Service

## Proposed Service

Name of Proposed Service:

*Data Escrow-ZoneFIle-Whois*

Technical description of Proposed Service:

*1. Schedule for Escrow Deposits, Data Escrow Format Specification, Escrow Transfer Process, and Escrow Verification Procedures.*

*2. Zone File Access*

*3. Whois Specifications*

*4. Public Whois Information*

*(Attached is updated file)*

Appendix A (RSEP Combine - 04292014.pdf)

## Consultation

Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?:

*These changes are being prompted by our back-end registry - Afilias Limited, whose desire is to optimize their systems and bring all of the TLDs they manage onto the same operational efficiencies and requirements.*

*This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.*

*This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues.*

a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored

# ICANN Registry Request Service

**Ticket ID: Z5A6E-2Q1N2**
**Registry Name: ICM Registry, LLC**
**gTLD: .XXX**
**Status: ICANN Review**
**Status Date: 2014-06-06 00:04:39**
**Print Date: 2014-06-06 00:05:24**

TLD community?:

*These changes are being prompted by our back-end registry - Afilias Limited, whose desire is to optimize their systems and bring all of the TLDs they manage onto the same operational efficiencies and requirements.*

*This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.*

*This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues.*

b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?:

*These changes are being prompted by our back-end registry - Afilias Limited, whose desire is to optimize their systems and bring all of the TLDs they manage onto the same operational efficiencies and requirements. They have been in communication with a variety of registrars.*

*This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues. Registrars have been a part of those ICANN community feedback processes on these changes.*

c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

*This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues.*

# ICANN Registry Request Service

**Ticket ID: Z5A6E-2Q1N2**
**Registry Name: ICM Registry, LLC**
**gTLD: .XXX**
**Status: ICANN Review**
**Status Date: 2014-06-06 00:04:39**
**Print Date: 2014-06-06 00:05:24**

d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

*This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues. End users have been a part of those ICANN community feedback processes on these changes.*

e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

*These changes are being prompted by our back-end registry - Afilias LImited, whose desire is to optimize their systems and bring all of the TLDs they manage onto the same operational efficiencies and requirements.*

*This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues.*

f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were(or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?:

*No known objectors.*

## Timeline

Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service:

*These changes are being prompted by our back-end registry - Afilias Limited, whose desire is to optimize their systems and bring all of the TLDs they manage onto the same operational efficiencies and requirements.*

*These are non-controversial changes that are intended to be implemented as soon as possible.*

# ICANN Registry Request Service

**Ticket ID: Z5A6E-2Q1N2**
**Registry Name: ICM Registry, LLC**
**gTLD: .XXX**
**Status: ICANN Review**
**Status Date: 2014-06-06 00:04:39**
**Print Date: 2014-06-06 00:05:24**

## Business Description

Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered:

*This service will be offered by the back-end registry provider, Afilias Limited and will work with various registrars. The changes requested here will be pushed to the zone file in the same manner as any other domain registration.*

Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service:

*This is the same system that has passed PDT multiple times, for each new gTLD that has launched under ICANNs new gTLD program.*

Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant.:

*This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues.*

## Contractual Provisions

List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service:

*See attached PDF.*

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN:

*See attached PDF.*

# ICANN Registry Request Service

**Ticket ID: Z5A6E-2Q1N2**
**Registry Name: ICM Registry, LLC**
**gTLD: .XXX**
**Status: ICANN Review**
**Status Date: 2014-06-06 00:04:39**
**Print Date: 2014-06-06 00:05:24**

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?:

*See attached PDF.*

*Appendix B (RSEP Combine PDF.pdf)*

## Contract Amendments

Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service:

*The various contractual amendments are described in the attached document.*

*Appendix C (RSEP Combine PDF.pdf)*

## Benefits of Service

Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service:

*These changes are being prompted by our back-end registry - Afilias LImited, whose desire is to optimize their systems and bring all of the TLDs they manage onto the same operational efficiencies and requirements.*

*This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues.*

## Competition

Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain.:

*We do not see any impact on competition with the implementation of the services described here.*

How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete?:

# ICANN Registry Request Service

**Ticket ID: Z5A6E-2Q1N2**
**Registry Name: ICM Registry, LLC**
**gTLD: .XXX**
**Status: ICANN Review**
**Status Date: 2014-06-06 00:04:39**
**Print Date: 2014-06-06 00:05:24**

*The TLD's market is existing and future TLD registrants.*

What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service?:

*These changes are being prompted by our back-end registry - Afilias LImited, whose desire is to optimize their systems and bring all of the TLDs they manage onto the same operational efficiencies and requirements.*

*This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues.*

*Each new gTLD offers a similar service.*

In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?:

*No; these changes are being prompted by our back-end registry - Afilias LImited, whose desire is to optimize their systems and bring all of the TLDs they manage onto the same operational efficiencies and requirements.*

*This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues.*

*Each new gTLD offers a similar service.*

Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service?  If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide.:

*Afilias Limited, a back-end registry service provider.*

# ICANN Registry Request Service

**Ticket ID: Z5A6E-2Q1N2**
**Registry Name: ICM Registry, LLC**
**gTLD: .XXX**
**Status: ICANN Review**
**Status Date: 2014-06-06 00:04:39**
**Print Date: 2014-06-06 00:05:24**

Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed Registry Service?  If so, please describe the communications.:

*Yes, Afilias Limited, a back-end registry service provider. Moreover, this request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues.*

Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application.  (ICANN will keep the documents confidential).:

*This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues.*

## Security and Stability

Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?:

*As otherwise described in the document attached to this request.*

Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of reponses to Internet servers or end systems:

*As otherwise described in the document attached to this request.*

Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?:

*None have been raised.*

## Other Issues

# ICANN Registry Request Service

**Ticket ID: Z5A6E-2Q1N2**
**Registry Name: ICM Registry, LLC**
**gTLD: .XXX**
**Status: ICANN Review**
**Status Date: 2014-06-06 00:04:39**
**Print Date: 2014-06-06 00:05:24**

Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service:

*None have been raised.*

Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?:

*NA*

List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service:

*None provided.*

Any other relevant information to include with this request:

*None.*